STATE FOREST LAND ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decided whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can. Questions in italics are supplemental to Ecology's standard environmental checklist. They have been added by the DNR to assist in the review of state forest land proposals. Adjacency and landscape/watershed-administrative-unit (WAU) maps for this proposal are available on the DNR internet website at http://www.dnr.wa.gov under "SEPA Center." These maps may also be reviewed at the DNR regional office responsible for the proposal. This checklist is to be used for SEPA evaluation of state forest land activities.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later. All of the questions are intended to address the complete proposal as described by your response to question A-11. The proposal acres in question A-11 may cover a larger area than the forest practice application acres, or the actual timber sale acres.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply." IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NON PROJECT ACTIONS (part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer" and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Timber Sale Name: Deep Blue

Agreement #: 30-084399

- 2. Name of applicant: Washington State Department of Natural Resources
- 3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Robert W. Johnson Pacific Cascade Region 601 Bond Road PO Box 280 Castle Rock, WA 98611-0280 (360)577-2025

- Date checklist prepared: March 20, 2009
- 5. Agency requesting checklist: Washington State Department of Natural Resources
- 6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
 - a. Auction Date: October 2009
 - b. Planned contract end date (but may be extended): September 2011
 - c. Phasing: None
- 7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

Timber Sale

a. Site preparation:

Slash may be piled to ensure sufficient plantable spots and the site may be aerially sprayed to reduce initial competing vegetation.

b. Regeneration Method:

Units will be hand planted to meet or exceed the minimum Forest Practices' requirements. Some natural regeneration is expected.

c. Vegetation Management:

Vegetation management needs will be assessed from plantation ages 3 to 8. Vegetation control activities will occur as needed.

d. Thinning:

Pre-commercial thinning needs will be assessed at approximately 15 years of age. Commercial thinning potential will be assessed at approximately 25 years of age.

Roads:

Roads remaining at the termination of the sale will be used for future forest management activities. Road maintenance and periodic ditch and culvert cleanout will occur as necessary.

Rock Pits and/or Sale:

Rock for construction of forest roads will be reclaimed from existing State roads or obtained from a commercial source.

Other:

Landing slash piles may be burned following harvest activities. Firewood salvage may occur after harvest activities.

11. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

⊠303 (d) – listed water body in WAU: ⊠temp ⊠sediment ⊠completed TMDL (total maximum daily load): In the Garrard Creek
and Independence Creek WAUs, 303(d) waters were identified from data taken in 1998. The map dated 2008 provided by DOI
at their web site (http://apps.ecy.wa.gov/wqawa/viewer.htm) no longer identifies the streams as 303(d) listed for the Garrard Creek and Independence Creek WAUs.
Landscape plan:
Watershed analysis:
Interdisciplinary team (ID Team) report:
Road design plan: Available at the Pacific Cascade Region office.
□ Wildlife report:
Geotechnical report:
\Box Other specialist report(s):
Memorandum of understanding (sportsmen's groups, neighborhood associations, tribes, etc.):
\square Rock pit plan:
Ø Other: Spotted owl habitat mapping, marbled murrelet habitat maps, Forest Practices Activity Maps, WAU maps for rain-on-
snow areas, Policy for Sustainable Forests (PSF, December, 2006), State Soil Survey, DNR GIS databases, Habitat Conservation
Plan (HCP, January, 1997), HCP Checklist, Weighted Old Growth Habitat Index (WOGHI), Slope Stability Checklist, Planning
and Tracking Special Concerns Report and associated maps. Available at Pacific Cascade Region Office.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

None known.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

☐ HPA ☐ Burning permit ☐ Shoreline permit ☐ Incidental take permit 1168 and PRT B 812521 ☐ FPA # 2919617 ☐ Other:

11. Give brief, complete description of our proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include specific information on project description.)

The Deep Blue proposal consists of 68 variable retention harvest acres in two units with less than 1 acre of right-of-way removal. Approximately 31 acres have been left in Riparian Management Zones (RMZ) and 7 acres have been left in legacy tree clumps. The proposal is located approximately 7 miles southwest of Rochester in Lewis County.

a. Complete proposal description:

Unit	Proposal Acres	RMZ/WMZ Acres	Sale Acres	Leave Tree Acres	Harvest Acres
name	gross		Mark	clumped acres	net
1	80	30	50	5	45
2	26	1	25	2	23
R/W	<1		<1		<1
Totals	106	31	75	7	68

b. Timber stand description pre-harvest (include major timber species and origin date), type of harvest, overall unit objectives.

Type of Harvest:

This proposal involves the variable retention harvest of 68 acres units with less than 1 acre of right-of-way removal. Approximately 31 acres have been left in RMZs and 7 acres have been left in legacy tree clumps. The right-of-way is through the top of a type 4 buffer in Unit 1; the road does not cross the stream nor will the right-of-way removals be taking any trees from within the inner zone of the type 4 stream.

Overall Unit Objective:

The overall objective for this proposal includes generating revenue for the Trusts through the production of saw logs, poles, and pulp material while manipulating the stand to maintain wildlife habitat by developing vertical stand structure and age class distribution in the future stand. This may be obtained through the retention of wildlife trees,

legacy trees and RMZs. These stands will be managed to protect site productivity and maintain the integrity and water quality of adjacent streams.

Pre-harvest Stand Description:

Unit	Age	Species Composition
1	74-years-old	Overstory: Douglas-fir, western hemlock, western redcedar, grand fir, red alder, bigleaf maple, black cottonwood. Understory: sword fern, salal, Oregon grape, salmonberry, elderberry, devil's club.
2	61 & 89-years- old	Overstory: Douglas-fir, western hemlock, western redcedar, red alder, bigleaf maple. Understory: sword fern, salal, Oregon grape, salmonberry, elderberry.

Harvest Systems:

Unit 1 will be harvested using a combination of cable and shovel harvesting equipment and Unit 2 will be harvested using shovel harvesting equipment.

c. Road activity summary. See also forest practice application (FPA) for maps and more details.

Type of Activity	How Many	Length (feet) (Estimated)	Acres (Estimated)	Fish Barrier Removals (#)
Construction		4,662	3	
Reconstruction	REILERI			
Abandonment		3,300	2	
Bridge Install/Replace			977 (18-11)	
Culvert Install/Replace (fish)		一一一次是		
Culvert Install/Replace (no fish)	1			

- 12. Location of proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist. (See timber sale map available at DNR region office, and/or color landscape/WAU map on the DNR website http://www.dnr.wa.gov under "SEPA Center.")
 - a. Legal description:

Sections 18 and 20, Township 15 North, Range 04 West, W.M.

b. Distance and direction from nearest town (include road names):

The proposal area is approximately 7 miles southwest of Rochester following Highway 12, Independence Road to Harris Road to the H-Line and I-Line.

c. Identify the watershed administrative unit (WAU), the WAU Sub-basin(s), and acres. (See also landscape/WAU map on DNR website http://www.dnr.wa.gov under "SEPA Center.")

WAU Name	WAU Acres	Proposal Acres	Sub-basin#	Sub-basin Acres	Proposal Acres
Garrard Creek	20,796	74	3	1,610	74
Independence Creek	19,251	6	2	1,729	6
Independence Creek	19,251	26	4	4,560	26

13. Discuss any known future activities not associated with this proposal that may result in a cumulative change in the environment when combined with the past and current proposal(s). (See digital ortho-photos for WAU and adjacency maps on DNR website http://www.dnr.wa.gov under "SEPA Center" for a broader landscape perspective.)

The following table is an estimated summary of past and future activities on DNR-managed land and privately managed land in the Garrard Creek and Independence Creek WAUs. No attempt was made to predict future timber harvest on private ownerships within the WAUs. The source of this information only provided the acreage on the WAU level.

Garrard Creek WAU	WAU Acres	Acres of Even- Aged Harvest Within the Last Seven Years	Acres of Uneven- Aged Harvest Within the Last Seven Years	Proposed Even- Aged Harvest in the Future	Proposed Uneven- Aged Harvest in the Future
DNR Managed Land	5,025 (24%)	68	21	74	0
Private Ownership	15,771 (76%)	1,371	78	Unknown	Unknown
Total	20,796	1,439	99	Unknown	Unknown

Independence Creek WAU	WAU Acres	Acres of Even- Aged Harvest Within the Last Seven Years	Acres of Uneven- Aged Harvest Within the Last Seven Years	Proposed Even- Aged Harvest in the Future	Proposed Uneven- Aged Harvest in the Future
DNR Managed Land	3,852 (20%)	124	21	32	0
Private Ownership	15,399 (80%)	549	785	Unknown	Unknown
Total	19,251	673	806	Unknown	Unknown

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a.	General	description	of the	site	(check one)	:

 \square Flat, \square Rolling, \square Hilly, \square Steep Slopes, \square Mountainous, \square Other:

General description of the WAU or sub-basin(s) (landforms, climate, elevations, and forest vegetation zone).

The Garrard Creek WAU ranges from approximately 80 to 2,000 feet in elevation and generally consists of hilly topography with moderate to steep slopes and numerous incised draws. Approximately 22% of the slopes in the WAU are over 65%. The WAU receives approximately 60 to 70 inches of precipitation annually, the majority of which (98%) falls as rain. The primary timber type is Douglas-fir with red alder dominating the draws and lowlands. Secondary species include bigleaf maple, western redcedar and western hemlock. The WAU is located in the western hemlock vegetation zone.

The Independence Creek WAU contains prominent hills and short, steep slopes. The elevation ranges from 80 feet in the valley bottoms to 798 feet at hilltops. There are slopes over 70%. Within the WAU, annual rainfall amounts average 48 inches per year. The forest vegetation zone is western hemlock. The major timber type is Douglas-fir with lesser amounts of western hemlock and western red cedar on the uplands with red alder concentrated primarily in the draws. Independence Creek flows from the west to the east into the Chehalis River and ultimately into Grays Harbor.

2) Identify any difference between the proposal location and the general description of the WAU or sub-basin(s).

The general WAU descriptions are similar to the proposal area.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

Unit	Steepest Slope
1	65
2	40

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland. Note: The following table is created from state soil survey data. It is a roll-up of general soils information for the soils found in the entire sale area. It is only one of several site assessment tools used in conjunction with actual site inspections for slope stability concerns or erosion potential. It can help indicate potential for shallow, rapid soil movement, but often does not represent deeper soil sub-strata. The actual soils conditions in the sale area may vary considerably based on land-form shapes, presence of erosive situations, and other factors. The state soil survey is a compilation of various surveys with different standards.

State Soil Survey #	Soil Texture or Soil Complex Name	% Slope	Acres	Mass Wasting Potential	Erosion Potential
4713	LOAM	8-20	30	INSIGNIFIC'T	MEDIUM
0649	SILT LOAM	8-30	22	INSIGNIFIC'T	MEDIUM
0650	SILT LOAM	30-65	22	MEDIUM	MEDIUM
1008	LOAM	8-30	1	LOW	MEDIUM

Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Yes.

1) Surface indications:

Numerous scarps are visible in the proposed area, as well as sag ponds and obvious landslide toes. However, these areas are all located within RMZs. See B.1.d.4 below.

Is there evidence of natural slope failures in the sub-basin(s)?
 No ∑Yes, type of failures (shallow vs. deep-seated) and failure site characteristics:

Within the Garrard Creek WAU, there are signs of ancient deep-seated failures and more recent shallow failures.

Are there slope failures in the sub-basin(s) associated with timber harvest activities or roads? □No
☐Yes, type of failures (shallow vs. deep-seated) and failure site characteristics: Associated management activity:

Due to the above average rainfall in the winters of 2006/2007 and 2008/2009, numerous failures have occurred in both WAU's along roads or are associated to roads. More recent failures in the proposed vicinity have occurred within the draws, most of which are incised with slopes greater than or equal to 70%.

4) Is the proposed site similar to sites where slope failures have occurred previously in the sub-basin(s)?
□No ∑Yes, describe similarities between the conditions and activities on these sites:

The proposed Unit 1 is on an ancient deep-seated failure. No work will take place on or over slopes greater than or equal to 70% as all of these areas have been buffered out of the harvest area by the average 208-foot RMZs on type 3 streams and minimum 100-foot RMZs along type 4 streams. A Region geologist reviewed the site and determined that the risk of a failure occurring would be minimal.

 Describe any slope stability protection measures (including sale boundary location, road, and harvest system decisions) incorporated into this proposal.

See B.1.h.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Incidental erosion may occur resulting from the yarding of logs and the soils that are exposed during and after road construction. Prudent road location, road construction and maintenance and yarding restrictions will minimize possible erosion.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)? Approximate percent of proposal in permanent road running surface (includes gravel roads):

Less than 1% of the proposal area will be covered with impervious surfaces after completion.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any: (Include protection measures for minimizing compaction or rutting.)

Measures to reduce erosion on roads or during active road construction:

- · Roads will be out-sloped or crowned, ditched and cross-drained.
- Soils exposed during road construction may be grass seeded.
- Seasonal timing restrictions will prohibit road construction during wet weather conditions.
- Cross-drains will be installed and maintained.
- · Sediment delivery will be addressed as needed during operations with the use of water bars or silt traps.
- There will be periodic maintenance and inspection of the road system to insure proper drainage.
- · Road locations were specifically designed to avoid potentially unstable areas and water crossings.

Protection measures to reduce erosion associated with active logging operation:

- Ground-based yarding will be restricted to slopes less than 35%.
- The lead end of all logs will be suspended during all yarding operations.
- Tracked skidders will be allowed only during the months when dry soil conditions permit.
- No equipment will be allowed within 30 feet of the edge of the 100-year flood plain of any stream.
- Yarding will be directed away from RMZ boundaries.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust from truck traffic, rock mining, crushing or hauling, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Minor amounts of engine exhaust from logging and road construction equipment and dust from vehicle traffic on roads will be emitted. If landing debris is burned after harvest is completed, wood smoke will be generated. There will be no emissions once the proposal is complete.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

If landing debris is burned, it will be in accordance with Washington State's Smoke Management Plan. A burn permit will be obtained before burning occurs.

Water

- Surface:
 - Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. (See timber sale map available at DNR region office, or forest practice application base maps.)

Yes.

a) Downstream water bodies:

Independence Creek and the Chehalis River.

b) Complete the following riparian & wetland management zone table:

Wetland, Stream, Lake, Pond, or Saltwater Name (if any)	Water Type	Number (how many?)	Avg RMZ/WMZ Width in Feet (per side for streams)
stream	3	4	208
stream	3	1	190
stream	4	7	100
stream	5	19	NA

 List RMZ/WMZ protection measures including silvicultural prescriptions, road-related RMZ/WMZ protection measures, and wind buffers.

RMZ widths averaging 208 feet wide in Unit 1 and 190 feet wide in Unit 2 measured horizontally from the edge of the 100-year flood plain have been placed around all associated type 3 streams. Type 4 streams have been buffered out by a minimum 100-foot RMZ and leave trees and a 30-foot equipment limitation zone will protect type 5 streams.

2)	Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) to the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.
	\square No \boxtimes Yes (See RMZ/WMZ table above and timber sale map available at DNR region office.) Description (include culverts):
	Timber felling, bucking, cable yarding, cable hanging and tracked mobile yarding will take place within 200 feet of all the described waters. Leave tree clumps and a 30-foot Equipment Limitation Zone will prevent harvest activities from occurring within or adjacent to portions of type 5 streams. Any slash that may inadvertently enter type 5 streams will be cleaned out per contract requirements. A portion of the H 6012 road will be built through the top portion of a type 4 stream buffer; the road does not cross the stream nor will the right-of-way removals be taking any trees from within the inner zone of the type 4 stream.
3)	Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.
	Approximately 100 cubic yards of native fill material will be placed around a culvert to be installed in a type 5 stream along the proposed H-6012 road.
4)	Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. (Include diversions for fish-passage culvert installation.) No Yes, description:
	If the type 5 stream is flowing during the construction of the proposed H-6012 road, the water will be diverted until the culvert is installed.
5)	Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. ☐ No ☐ Yes, describe location:
	The proposed H-6012 road goes through the 100-year flood plain of a type 5 stream.
6)	Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. No Yes, type and volume:
7)	Does the sub-basin contain soils or terrain susceptible to surface erosion and/or mass wasting? What is the potential for eroded material to enter surface water?
	Generally, the high potential areas associated with erosion or mass wasting are located on convergent slopes of 65% or greater and often involve unstable soils and/or steep head walls. Some past failures have entered streams in small amounts. With the mitigating measures to be implemented, this proposal is not expected to contribute material sediment to surface waters. See questions B.1.c, B.1.d, B.1.f, B.1.h, and B.3.9).
8)	Is there evidence of changes to the channels in the WAU and sub-basin(s) due to surface erosion or mass wasting (accelerated aggradations, erosion, decrease in large organic debris (LOD), change in channel dimensions)? □No ⊠Yes, describe changes and possible causes:
	See question B.3.a.13 below.
9)	Could this proposal affect water quality based on the answers to the questions 1-8 above? \square No \square Yes, explain:
	This proposal could possibly introduce minor amounts of sediment into the streams adjacent to the proposal area as a result of road building and harvest operations during early stages of activity. The erosion control measures and operation procedures outlined in B.1.f and B.1.h. are expected to minimize the chances of any sediment delivery.
10)	What are the approximate road miles per square mile in the WAU and sub-basin(s)? Are you aware of areas where forest roads or road ditches intercept sub-surface flow and deliver surface water to streams, rather than back to the forest floor? No Pes, describe:
	Road Miles/
	WAU Miles ²
	Garrard Creek 3.9 Independence Creek 4.4
si	The approximate road mileages for the associated sub-basins are unknown.
1.1)	
11)	Is the proposal within a significant rain-on-snow (ROS) zone? If not, STOP HERE and go to question B-3-a-12 below. Use the WAU or sub-basin(s) for the ROS percentage questions below. No Yes, approximate percent of WAU in significant ROS zone.
	Approximate percent of sub-basin(s):
12)	If the proposal is within the significant ROS zone, what is the approximate percentage of the WAU <u>or</u> subbasin(s) within the significant ROS zone (all ownerships) that is (are) rated as hydrologically mature?
13)	Is there evidence of changes to channels associated with peak flows in the WAU \underline{or} sub-basin(s)? \square No \square Yes, describe observations:
	Normally, there are few significant changes associated with peak flows in the WAU or sub-basins.

Normally, there are few significant changes associated with peak flows in the WAU or sub-basins. However, in the winters of 2007 and 2009, two 100-year plus events occurred. The rainstorm set rainfall and flood level records in Southwest Washington. The event caused many shallow mass-wasting events. Many stream channels were altered in this event due to extremely high stream flows with accompanying sediment loads and possibly large woody debris delivery. The full extent of this is not known.

14) Based on your answers to questions B-3-a-10 through B-3-a-13 above, describe whether and how this proposal, in combination with other past, current, or reasonably foreseeable proposals in the WAU and sub-basin(s), may contribute to a peak flow impact.

This proposal may slightly change the timing/duration/amount of peak flow, and flow rates may increase slightly during low flow periods due to decreased transpiration and interception during the first decade of new forest growth. However, no cumulative impacts are expected since similar projects in the WAU have resulted in no noticeable increase in peak flows. See question B.3.a.16 below.

15) Is there water resource (public, domestic, agricultural, hatchery, etc.), or area of slope instability, downstream or downslope of the proposed activity that could be affected by changes in surface water amounts, quality, or movements as a result of this proposal?
No Yes, possible impacts:

There are potential water intakes downstream of Unit 2. These intakes are located at least one-quarter mile downstream of the proposal area.

- 16) Based on your answers to questions B-3-a-10 through B-3-a-15 above, note any protection measures addressing possible peak flow/flooding impacts.
 - The RMZ buffers described in question 3.a.1.c above will prohibit harvest activities within an
 average of approximately 208 feet in Unit 1 and 190 feet in Unit 2 from type 3 streams and a
 minimum of 100 feet from type 4 streams.
 - Type 5 streams have been protected with leave trees where they were not protected within the type 3 RMZ buffer.
 - · Timber will be felled and yarded away from all streams.
 - There will be a 30-foot Equipment Limitation Zone associated with all type 5 streams.
 - Any slash that enters a stream will be cleaned out per contract requirements. Further erosion control measures will be implemented if necessary.
 - Cross-drains will be installed and maintained.
 - Sediment delivery will be addressed as needed during operations and may include the use of water bars, silt traps, and water course diversions during culvert installation.
 - There will be periodic maintenance and inspection of the road system to insure proper drainage.

b. Ground Water:

 Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Minor amounts of oil, fuel, and other lubricants may inadvertently be discharged to the ground as a result of heavy equipment use or mechanical failure. No lubricants will be disposed of on-site.

3) Is there a water resource use (public, domestic, agricultural, hatchery, etc.), or area of slope instability, downstream or down slope of the proposed activity that could be affected by changes in groundwater amounts, timing, or movements as a result this proposal?
No ☐ Yes, describe:

a) Note protection measures, if any.

Not applicable.

- c. Water Runoff (including storm water):
 - Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Storm water runoff from roads and intercepted sub-surface flow will be collected by road ditches and ditch-outs and diverted onto the forest floor. Ditch-outs and cross-drain culverts will be placed to minimize the amount of ditch water directly entering existing stream channels

Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Minor amounts of oil, fuel, and other lubricants may inadvertently be discharged to the ground as a result of heavy equipment use or mechanical failure. No lubricants will be disposed of on-site.

Note protection measures, if any.

the type 3 stream in Unit 2, 100 feet from type 4 streams, and 30 feet from type 5 streams. Leave tree concentrations in and around type 5 streams will further reduce equipment operations in areas with potential to impact ground or surface water. Any spills shall be cleaned up per contractual requirements.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

See surface water, ground water, and water runoff sections above, questions B-3-a-1-c, B-3-a-16, and B-3-c-2-a.

4.	Plants	

a.	Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:		
	Shrubs: Shuckleberry, Salmonberry, Salal, Sother: Oregon grape, elderberry □ grass □ pasture		
	□ crop or grain □ wet soil plants: □ cattail, □ buttercup, □ bullrush, □ skunk cabbage, □ devil's club, □ other: □ water plants: □ water lily, □ eelgrass, □ milfoil, □ other: □ other types of vegetation: sword fern □ plant communities of concern:		
b.	What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? (See answers to questions A-11-a, A-11-b, B-3-a-1-b and B 3-a-1-c. The following sub-questions merely supplement those answers.)		

Approximately 3,600 MBF of Douglas-fir, western hemlock, western redcedar, grand fir, red alder, bigleaf maple and

black cottonwood will be removed from the site.

1) Describe the species, age, and structural diversity of the timber types immediately adjacent to the removal area. (See landscape/WAU and adjacency maps on the DNR website at: http://www.dnr.wa.gov under "SEPA Center."

Unit 1	Age and Species
north	State timber of same age and type
south	Private 20-year old reprod
east	Private 20-year-old reprod
west	Private 20-year-old reprod
Unit 2	Age and Species
mouth	State 20 years ald and 2 years ald served
north	
north south	State 20-year-old and 2-year-old reprod State 20-year-old reprod

2) Retention tree plan:

Unit	Distribution Method for Retention Trees and Snags	Acres in Clumps	Total Trees Left
1	Clumped and scattered	5	400
2	Clumped and scattered	2	200
	Total Leave Tree Acres	7	600

This proposal was screened for potential old growth. No points that indicate a moderate or high likelihood of old growth were found; however, there are some remnant legacy trees located in Unit 1. The majority of these remnants are located in the RMZs, but one is located near the end of the proposed H-6012A road and will need to be felled to facilitate harvesting operations. This cut tree will remain on site to serve as large down woody debris.

c. List threatened or endangered *plant* species known to be on or near the site.

None found in database search.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Approximately 7 acres have been left in leave tree areas and 31 acres have been bound out in RMZs to preserve the existing vegetation in the proposal area.

5	Anima

a.	Circle or check any birds animals <i>or unique habitats</i> which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:			
	birds: ⊠hawk, □heron, □eagle, □songbirds, □pigeon, □other: mammals: ⊠deer, ⊠bear, ⊠elk, ⊠beaver, ⊠other: coyote, cougar			

	fish: []1 unique h	bass, ⊠salmon, ⊠trout, □herring, □shellfish, □other: abitats: □talus slopes, □caves, □cliffs, □oak woodlands, □balds, □mineral springs		
b.	List any	threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site (include federal- and state-listed species).		
	This proposal is located within the range of potential Bull Trout and Winter Steelhead habitat.			
c.	Is the site	e part of a migration route? If so, explain. c flyway		
	proposal through	posal is located in the Pacific flyway. Migratory waterfowl also use the Pacific flyway; the area for this is not generally the type of area used for resting or feeding by migratory waterfowl. While migrating Pacific Northwest forests, many Neotropical birds are closely associated with riparian areas, cliffs, snags, an ally unique trees.		
d.	Proposed	measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:		
	Clumped wildlife leave trees will be left at a frequency of eight trees per acre (greater than 10 inches Diameter at Breast Height per the DNR's HCP) to help retain wildlife habitat. Reforestation will be accomplished after harvest RMZs averaging 208 feet in Unit 1 and 190 feet in Unit 2 wide will be left along type 3 streams and a minimum of 10 feet along type 4 streams to protect water quality, provide corridors for wildlife, and maintain habitat for fish, amphibians, reptiles, and other riparian obligate species.			
	Spec Prote in U with	e existing or proposed protection measures, if any, for the complete proposal described in question Acties / Habitat: riparian ection Measures: Type 3 streams were protected by an average RMZ buffer of 208 feet in Unit 1 and 190 feet nit 2. Type 4 streams were protected by a minimum 100-foot buffer. Type 5 streams that were not protected in a type 3 or 4 RMZ were protected with leave trees and will additionally be protected by a 30-foot ipment Limitation Zone.		
Energy	and Natur	al Resources		
a.	What kind Describe	ds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.		
	None.			
b.	Would yo	our project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.		
	No.			
c.	What kind or control	ds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce energy impacts, if any:		
	None.			
Enviro	nmental He	alth		
a.	Are there hazardous	any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or swaste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.		
	There is a operating	a minimal hazard incidental to operating heavy equipment. There is the possibility of fire ignition during the period, especially during fire season.		
	1)	Describe special emergency services that might be required.		
		Fire suppression resources will be from DNR. Other emergencies (health, chemical spills) will be addressed by appropriate agencies.		
	2)	Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:		
		No oil or lubricants will be disposed of on site and any spills will be cleaned up per contractual requirements. Fire tools and equipment will be kept on site during fire season. The cessation of operations may occur during periods when the risk of fire is unacceptably high.		
b.	Noise			
	1)	What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?		
		None.		
	2)	What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from this site.		
		Minimal noise levels associated with logging operations and truck traffic. This traffic consistent with the existing traffic. Noise will be increased on site during daylight hours, while operations are being conducted. No long-term impacts are anticipated.		
	3)	Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:		
		None.		

7.

Land a	and Shoreline Use
a.	What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? (Site includes the complete proposal, e.g. rock pits and access roads.)
	Forest land management.
b.	Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.
	No.
c.	Describe any structures on the site.
	None.
d.	Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?
194	No.
e.	What is the current zoning classification of the site?
	Forest land.
f.	What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?
	Forest land.
g.	If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
	N/A
h.	Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.
	No.
i.	Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
	None.
j.	Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
3	None.
k.	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
	None.
1.	Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:
	This proposal is consistent with the designated forestland classification.
Housin	
a.	Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
	None.
b.	Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
0.	None.
c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:
	None.
Aesthet	
a.	What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principle exterior building material(s) proposed?
	None.
b.	What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?
	A view of standing mature timber will be altered to a view of an even-aged timber harvest with clumped wildlife trees individual wildlife trees and RMZs along type 3 and 4 streams.
	 Is this proposal visible from a residential area, town, city, developed recreation site, or a scenic vista? No ☐ Yes, viewing location:
	2) Is this proposal visible from a major transportation or designated scenic corridor (county road, state or interstate highway, US route, river, or Columbia Gorge SMA)? No ☐ Yes, scenic corridor name:

8.

9.

10.

3) How will this proposal affect any views described in 1) or 2) above?

This proposal is visually similar to the surrounding landscape.

Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

Aesthetic impacts will be mitigated by leaving a total of 600 leave trees clumped and scattered throughout the units. Retaining RMZs averaging 208 feet wide in Unit 1 and 190 feet wide in Unit 2 along type 3 streams and a minimum of 100 feet on type 4 streams. Planting native conifer seedlings to ensure adequate reproduction.

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Hunting, berry picking and other informal recreation activities are done within the vicinity.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe:

These activities may be temporarily displaced during operations.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

None.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

No. This proposal was screened for potential archaeological sites or artifacts using the P&T special concerns report, historical topographic and GLO maps; and during the pre-sales phase. No areas of concern were identified.

 Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

None.

 Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any: (Include all meetings or consultations with tribes, archaeologists, anthropologists or other authorities.)

In the event that any unknown archaeological resources are encountered, ground disturbing activities would be halted and the DNR Archaeologist contacted to survey the site and develop a Site Protection Plan.

14. Transportation

Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site
plans, if any.

Highway 12, Harris Road and Independence Road.

1) Is it likely that this proposal will contribute to an <u>existing</u> safety, noise, dust, maintenance, or other transportation impact problem(s)?

Traffic from this operation will marginally increase noise, dust, and vehicle density, which may temporarily result in a decrease in safety. Contractual clauses require the operator to use existing safety standards. Truck traffic from this individual operation should not increase the need for public road maintenance.

b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

No

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

None.

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No

- 1) How does this proposal impact the overall transportation system/circulation in the surrounding area, if at all?
 This proposal does not significantly affect the current transportation system or traffic circulation. The proposal will extend the DNR road network.
- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

During operations, approximately fifteen trips per day will be generated. Upon completion of the proposal, some vehicle trips will be required to burn landing slash piles, reforest the area and maintain the roads and newly established plantation. Recreational vehicle traffic may increase.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None.

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

None

16. Utilities

 Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.

None.

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

None.

C.	SIGNATURE		
	./		
	The above answers are true and complete	to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the	lead agency is relying on
	them to make its decision.	- Prod Sales For	5/20/09
	A. C.		-,,,.
	Completed by: Patrick Ferguson	Forester 1	Date: 4/1/2009
		Title	
	1/2/1/	on PRODUCT SALES MANAGEN	C 2 = 29
	Reviewed by: While No young	on TRUBELL SALES PLANAGEN	Date: _5-20-09
		Title	

Comments: _